

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,240	03/30/2004	Erik de la Iglesia	006897.P001	8139
78855 Patent Capital	7590 11/09/200 Group	EXAMINER		
6119 McComr	nas Blvd	SHAW, PELING ANDY		
Dallas, TX 752	214		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

thomasframe@patcapgroup.com roseanne.cisneros@patcapgroup.com patbradford@patcapgroup.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/815,240	DE LA IGLESIA ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	PELING A. SHAW	2444					

	PELING A. SHAW	2444				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box it is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY SILES WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1).					
Extensions of firm may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further corrections. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the application in better than the properties of the properties of the properties. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. Me For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>prope.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>prope.</u>		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>10/20/09</u>	<u>1</u>				
	/Peling A Shaw/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has a gruped the limitation of *... the packets representing a document that includes the objects, wherein the document is captured based on a capture rule that specifies the objects, and wherein a determination is made as to whether to discard or to store the objects of the document.* (see 3rd paragraph on page 8 through 3" paragraph on page 9 of current mendment)

The capture of the document is specified as to discard or store the objects of the document based on a capture rule.

Rowley has further described (column 1, line 66-column 2, line 9) selecting a portion of the packets captured by a sniffer during a giving time interval.

Rowley has described (column 4, lines 29-34) that packets selected, captured and sorted into the protocol sorted list, the data from the selected packets are reconstructed into data and script files for web pages and other contents.

Rowley has described (column 3, lines 62-67) packet not needed for the subsequent reconstruction of the network communication session is not included in the protocol sorted list, e.g. DN lookup request packets, error packets or corrupted packets. Rowley has taught and suggested some rule is applied to select, capture and store the packets for the reconstruction of network communication session.

Examiner has further reviewed the claim rejections as per items and items 'a' through 'd' in section 5 and item 'a' in section 6 of the Response to Argument of Office Action mailed on 08/20/2009. Applicant has argued similarly as per last paragraph on page 8 of amendment received on 04/30/2009. Examiner has shown that Rowley and Saulpaugh have disclosed applicant's claimed invention as presented.